

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/766,362	Applicant(s) METZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Ed J. Glick	Art Unit 2882	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Ed J. Glick.

(3) _____.

 (2) William J. Zychlewicz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
20 and 23


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Obtained authorization for an Examiner's amendment to clarify claim language and correct claim dependency.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EDWARD J. GLICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)